REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION 66

DON'T BE FOOLED BY OPPONENTS' DECEPTIVE SCARE TACTICS.

- PROPOSITION 66 WON'T RELEASE A SINGLE "Striker," let alone thousands, serving time for violent or serious crimes, such as rape, murder or child molestation.
- PROPOSITION 66 DOES NOT STOP ANYONE CONVICTED OF A
 CRIME FROM BEING FULLY PUNISHED FOR THEIR CRIME whether juvenile or adult, arsonist, murderer or drunk driver, including examples cited by opponents.
- PROPOSITION 66 DOESN'T "DESTROY" THREE STRIKES. It does
 exactly what voters originally intended punish repeat violent criminals with

Our opponents hope you'll be fooled. Here's the truth about Proposition 66:

- PROPOSITION 66 RESTORES VOTERS' INTENT of keeping violent criminals off our streets.
- PROPOSITION 66 PROTECTS CHILDREN by providing a tougher 1-Strike sentence for child molesters.
- PROPOSITION 66 STOPS BILLIONS OF TAX DOLLARS FROM BEING
 WASTED imprisoning shoplifters and other nonviolent petty offenders for life.
- Proposition 66 will allow three to four thousand nonviolent petty offenders to apply for retrial, but will not release a single violent striker.

California is the only state with a Three Strikes law that can send someone to prison for life for stealing a loaf of bread. Proposition 66 will make sure the time fits the crime.

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES

PROPOSITION 66

Major newspapers across California haven't been fooled by deceptive scare tactics and have repeatedly called for Three Strikes to match voters' intent.

RESTORE THREE STRIKES TO ITS PROMISE, TOUGHEN LAWS AGAINST
CHILD MOLESTERS AND SAVE TAXPAYERS BILLIONS

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION 66 - Three Strikes as voters meant it to be in the

first place.

Mark Leno, Chairman California State Assembly Committee on Public Safety

Ramona Ripston, Executive Director A.C.L.U. of Southern California

Joe Klaas, Chairman Citizens Against Violent Crime

CHANGES

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES